

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CARL A. COURTRIGHT, III,

Defendant.

No. 07-cr-30179-DRH

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

HERNDON, Chief Judge:

Now before the Court is defendant Carl A. Courtright, III's, motion for clarification of sentence (Doc. 189). Defendant requests that the Court draft an order to clarify an alleged discrepancy about the payment of his fine, restitution, and special assessment. However, defendant *voluntarily* participates in the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program (IFRP). 28 C.F.R. § 545.11.

IFRP is a function of the Bureau of Prisons (BOP), and a district court has no authority to order participation. *United States v. Boyd*, 608 F.3d 331 (7th Cir. 2010). Moreover, this Court previously adopted the government's position that it will not intervene in the administration of IFRP procedures regarding the defendant (Doc. 176). The Court reminds defendant that he may appeal a decision concerning the administration of IFRP procedures within BOP. *See United States*

v. Sawyer, 521 F.3d 792, 794 (7th Cir. 2008). Therefore, defendant's motion for clarification of sentence (Doc. 189) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 26th day of September, 2014.

 Digitally signed by
David R. Herndon
Date: 2014.09.26
00:57:18 -05'00'



Chief Judge
United States District Court